UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,162	12/01/2006	Stephen Kundig	2351/4US	1591
23638 ADAMS EVAN	7590 10/18/200 NS P.A.	7	EXAMINER	
Suite 2350 Charlotte Plaza			MORGAN, EILEEN P	
201 South College Street CHARLOTTE, NC 28244			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		N			
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/569,162	KUNDIG, STEPHEN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
γ	Eileen P. Morgan	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Au</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Extended</li> </ol>	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 8-10-07 is/are: a) ☑ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/569,162

Art Unit: 3723

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The substitute specification filed 8-10-07 has not been entered because it does not conform to 37 CFR 1.125(b) and (c) because: was not accompanied with a marked-up version.

The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71, as being so incomprehensible as to preclude a reasonable search of the prior art by the examiner. For example, the following items are not understood: it is not understood how the sensing elements (15) correlate to the segments (11). The entire invention is not understood. What is the 'inclination' with respect to? How is the sanding block 'rotated'? Is it pivoted? How are deviations compensated for? The Brief Description is not in proper format. The Brief Description refers to claimed subject matter which is also not proper.

Applicant is required to submit an amendment which clarifies the disclosure so that the examiner may make a proper comparison of the invention with the prior art.

Applicant should be careful not to introduce any new matter into the disclosure (i.e., matter which is not supported by the disclosure as originally filed).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not

Application/Control Number: 10/569,162 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is unclear how the invention works. The correlation between the sensing elements and the segmented sanding block is not clearly defined. It is unclear what the 'inclination' is in reference to. It is unclear what problem is being solved and how the claimed device works in order to solve the problem. The specification discusses a sanding belt and sanding block. This is unclear. Are these the same devices?

Claims 5-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The new elements of a sanding pad, sanding pad segments, forward feeler rollers, and stepless regulating means are new matter.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5, the pad and pad segments are not mentioned in the specification. The correlation of the pad segments and forward feeler rollers is unclear. The forward feeler rollers are unclear and not mentioned in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/569,162

Art Unit: 3723

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hessemann-4,601,134.

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Hessemann discloses a sanding machine with a segmented sanding block having pressure pads (7) that press a sanding belt under a controlled pressure against a workpiece (2) in correspondence to sensing elements (14) that determine workpiece properties, such as width and thickness.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8-10-07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The specification still does not adequately describe the invention. The specification refers to the sanding block and sanding belt. What is the difference? Is the tool a block or belt? The belt isn't even shown. The specification and claims are still so unclear and contain new matter, that Hessemann, as best understood anticipates the claimed invention.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3723

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen P. Morgan whose telephone number is 571.272.4488. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7am-3:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571.272.4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/569,162

Art Unit: 3723

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EM October 14, 2007

> /Eileen P. Morgan/ Primary Examiner, AU 3723